

### REMARKS

Following entry of this amendment, claims 2, 7, 9-12, 20, and 39-61 will be pending in this application. Claims 4-6, 8, 13-19, 22-36, and 38 are canceled herein without prejudice; claims 2, 7, 9-11, and 20 are currently amended; and new claims 39-61 are added. Support for the amendments and new claims can be found throughout the specification and claims as originally filed, e.g., at page 6, lines 22-34; page 13 lines 9-16; and page 23, lines 4-16. No new matter has been added.

The amendments to the specification correct an obvious error in the original and an error incorporated during the translation of the application. No new matter has been added.

#### 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Claim 22 was rejected as allegedly indefinite in the recitation of a method without setting forth any method steps. Applicants have canceled claim 22, solely to further prosecution.

#### 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

Claims 2, 4-7, 12-19, and 22 were rejected as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. Applicants disagree with the rejection. However, solely in the interest of furthering prosecution, applicants have canceled claims 4-6, 13-19, and 22. Further, applicants have amended claim 2 to incorporate the limitations of claim 8, which was not rejected, again solely to further prosecution. The remaining claims all depend from claim 2, directly or ultimately. Applicants submit that this obviates the rejection.

Claims 13-19 and 22 were rejected as allegedly failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicants disagree with the rejection. Nevertheless, applicants have canceled these claims, solely to further prosecution.

Applicant : Kunihiro Hattori et al.  
Serial No. : 10/575,905  
Filed : April 30, 2007  
Page : 10 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 14875-0161US1 / C1-A0313P2-US

### CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that all claims are in condition for allowance. Applicants request rejoinder of withdrawn claim 20 and new claims 43-61, all of which depend from claims applicants believe to be allowable.

Applicants do not concede any positions of the Office that are not expressly addressed above, nor do applicants concede that there are not other good reasons for patentability of the presented claims or other claims.

This reply is being submitted with a Petition for Extension of Time and the required fee. Please apply any other required charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050, referencing Attorney Docket No. 14875-0161US1.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 22, 2010

/RSMcQuade/

Ryan S. McQuade, Ph.D.  
Reg. No. 61,358

Customer Number 26161  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
Telephone: (617) 542-5070  
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

22547926.doc